**Sample (1): Research Paper Rubric**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Rating Scale** | **Criterion** |
| **Low** | **Average** | **High** |
| Cover page contains few of the basic requirements, including little of the specified data, and the title of the research does not explain all its variables and context, and it is not formulated in a way that is appealing to the reader | Cover page contains most of the basic requirements, including most of the specified data, and the title of the research explains all its variables and context, but is not formulated in a way that is appealing to the reader | Cover page contains all basic requirements, including all the specified data, and the title of the research explains all its variables and context, and it is formulated in a way that is appealing to the reader | **Cover Page** |
| **Percentage: 5%** |
| Abstract does not include most of the main components and format requirements (objectives, methodology, sample, key results, keywords), and word number limitation is not adhered to | Abstract contains most of the main components and format requirements (objectives, methodology, sample, key results, keywords), and word number limitation is adhered to | Abstract contains all main components and format requirements (objectives, methodology, sample, key results, keywords), and word number limitation is adhered to | **Abstract** |
| **Percentage: 10%** |
| Introduction is not written in a suitable style and is not structured from general to specific. It also does not explain the importance of the research topic, its variables, and the relationship between them | Introduction is written in a suitable style, and structured from general to specific, explaining the importance of the research topic or its variables, but does not clarify the relationship between them | Introduction is written in an attractive style for the reader, and structured from general to specific, explaining the importance of the research topic or its variables and the relationship between them | **Introduction** |
| **Percentage: 15%** |
| Theoretical research framework is not characterized by its depth, information validity, comprehensiveness, and analysis. Several recent previous studies unrelated to the research topic are reviewed, and it does not demonstrate the need for research | Theoretical research framework is characterized by its depth and information validity, but not by its comprehensiveness and analysis. Several recent previous studies related to the research topic are reviewed, but it does not demonstrate the need for research | Theoretical research framework is characterized by its depth, information validity, comprehensiveness, and analysis. Several recent previous studies related to the research topic are reviewed, and it demonstrates the need for research | **Literature Review** |
| **Percentage: 20%** |
| Research methodology and approach are not accurately clarified. Research context and the sample, data collection and analysis are incompletely reported, and selections are not justified | Research methodology and approach are clarified. Research context and the sample, data collection and analysis are inaccurately reported using general terms, and selections are not justified | Research methodology and approach are clarified. Research context and the sample, data collection and analysis are all accurately specified, and selections are justified | **Research Methodology** |
| **Percentage: 15%** |
| Research results are not clearly and consecutively reported according to the sequence of research questions, and there was limited or no link between the questions and previous studies and the results. No analysis, discussion or summary are presented, | Research results are clearly reported, but not consecutively according to the sequence of research questions, and the relevant questions and previous studies are consistently linked to the results. Analysis and discussion are presented, and summary and recommendations are satisfactorily included | Research results are clearly and consecutively reported according to the sequence of research questions, and the relevant questions and previous studies are competently linked to the results. Analysis and discussion are presented, and summary and recommendations are skilfully included | **Results & Discussion** |
| **Percentage: 25%** |
| Paper, in general, is not well-written containing many spelling or grammatical errors and is not logically sequenced overall, and researcher's personality is not evident in the analysis and associations. Not all information is cited in the body and reference list, and the latest version of the APA citation style is not adhered to  | Paper is, in general, satisfactorily written containing some spelling or grammatical errors and is logically sequenced overall, and researcher's personality is not evident in most of the analysis and associations. Information is cited in the body and reference list, and the latest version of the APA citation style is somewhat adhered to  | Paper is well written containing no spelling or grammatical errors and is logically sequenced, and researcher's character is evident in the analysis and associations. Information is cited in the body and reference list, and the latest version of the APA citation style is fully adhered to | **Writing and Resources** |
| **Percentage: 10%** |