Sample (1): Graduation Project Rubric (Individual Project)

This rubric assesses the student's final product and presentation skills, and the evaluation is carried out in three stages

Criterion	Rating Scale			
	High	Average	Low	
Stage One: Planning and Constructing				
Objectives and Planning Percentage: ١٠/.	Suitable research plan with clear main and secondary objectives and appropriate prioritization is presented	Suitable research plan with clear key objectives is presented, but secondary objectives are unclear	Main objectives are unclear, and the plan is not satisfactory	
Supporting Information Percentage: 1.//	Large amounts of valid and relevant information have been obtained from reliable sources	Adequate amount of information has been obtained, most of which is from reliable sources	Not enough information has been obtained, or is from unreliable or inappropriate sources	
Percentage: 1.7.				
Link to Research in the Same Field Percentage: ١٠٪	Substantial and logical link was established between the current research and trends and concepts covered by research conducted in the same field	Satisfactory link was established between the current research and research conducted in the same field	Link to previous research is limited or not available	
Stage Two: Planning and Executing				
Knowledge of Field	Project demonstrates the student's understanding of the knowledge content of the course or programme via integration of key and sub-concepts. The project also demonstrates student's distinct research efforts and insight within the field.	Project demonstrates the student's understanding of the knowledge content of the course or programme via integration of key concepts , but it reflects student's limited research efforts and insight within the field	Project does not demonstrate the student's understanding of the knowledge content of the course nor programme and does not show research efforts in the field	
Percentage: \. /.				
Presentation Quality Percentage: ۱۰%	All analysis and design decisions were well supported by the compiled literature	Y. //. of the analysis and design decisions were supported by the compiled literature	Analysis and design were not supported by the literature	

Presentation Evaluation Percentage: 1 · //.	An appropriate method was used to test the validity and reliability of the final results or product and to obtain feedback	Feedback was used to ensure validity and reliability of the results or product	No feedback nor test was used to ensure validity and reliability of the results or product		
Stage Three: Final Presentation					
Final Report Percentage: Y · //.	Contains a clear introduction and conclusion with an explanation of the terms used, an introduction of the main points, actions taken, gaps and targeted needs, as well as highlighting the main results, contributions, and application opportunities	Coherent report but lacks \ or \text{Y} important components that should have been demonstrated (mentioned in the High performance level)	Weak report that lacks more than "important components that should have been demonstrated (mentioned in the High performance level)		
Poster	Effective poster design that sums up the effort put into it and displays it well visually	Poster design that sums up the effort put into it, but does not display it well visually or vice versa	Poster design does not sum up the effort put into it and does not display it well visually		
Percentage: 0 /	,	Transport from the state of the			
Presentation Percentage: 0 //.	The presentation reinforces the report, and the slides cover all the main points and help the speaker to clearly and coherently talk about the topic	Y · //, or more of the slides convey the project ideas, but the presentation does not cover all the points	Less than Y · ½ of the slides convey the main ideas of the project		
Effective Communication During the Presentation Percentage: 0'/.	The speaker is articulate, uses effective pace, and exhibits proper eye contact	The speaker uses appropriate language, but there is no proper eye contact or vice versa	The speaker lacks good communication		
Self-Assessment Self-Assessment					
Lessons Learned	The following three points were clarified: - What worked well and why - What didn't work well and why - Ways to improve and increase work effectiveness and efficiency in the future	Only two of the three have been clarified	None of the three points in the High level were clarified		
Percentage: 0 /					