## Sample (Y): Graduation Project Rubric (Group Project)

This rubric assesses the student in three areas: Final product, presentation skills, and their ability to be a

good team member. The evaluation is carried out in three stages.

Criterion	Rating Scale			
	Excellent	Average	Not Sufficient	
Stage One: Planning and Constructing				
Team Building	Clear roles were assigned to each team member and allocated specific responsibilities	Roles were assigned to each team member, but allocated responsibilities were unclear	No specific roles were assigned to each team member	
Percentage: ٥٪				
Objectives and Planning	Suitable research plan with clear main and secondary objectives and appropriate prioritization is	Suitable research plan with clear key objectives is presented, but	The main objectives are unclear, and the plan is not satisfactory	
Percentage: ٥٪	presented	secondary objectives are unclear		
Supporting Information	Large amounts of valid and relevant information have been obtained from reliable sources	Adequate amount of information has been obtained, most of which is from	Not enough information has been obtained, or is from unreliable or inappropriate sources	
Percentage: ۱۰٪		reliable sources		
Link to Research in the Same Field Percentage: ١٠٪	A substantial and logical link was established between the current research and trends and concepts covered by studies conducted in the same field	A satisfactory link was established between the current research and studies conducted in the same field	Link to previous research is limited or not available	
Stage Two: Work and Productivity				
Knowledge of Field	Project demonstrates the student's understanding of the knowledge content of the course or programme via integration of key and sub- concepts. The project also demonstrates student's distinct research efforts and insight within the field	Project demonstrates the student's understanding of the knowledge content of the course or programme via integration of key concepts , but it reflects student's limited research efforts and insight within the field	Project does not demonstrate the student's understanding of the knowledge content of the course nor programme and does not show research efforts in the field	
Percentage: ١٠٪	της πεία			
Product Quality	All analysis and design decisions were well supported by the	۲۰٪ of the analysis and design decisions were supported by the	Analysis and design were not supported by the literature	
Percentage: ۱۰٪	compiled literature	compiled literature		

Product Evaluation Percentage: \. ½	An appropriate method was used to test the validity and reliability of the final results or product and to obtain feedback	Feedback was used to ensure validity and reliability of the results or product	No feedback nor test was used to ensure validity and reliability of the results or product		
Stage Three: Final Presentation					
Final Report Percentage: Y+%	Contains a clear introduction and conclusion with an explanation of the terms used, an introduction of the main points, actions taken, gaps and targeted needs, as well as highlighting the main results, contributions, and application	Coherent report but lacks \ or Y important components that should have been demonstrated (mentioned in the High performance level)	Weak report that lacks more than ۳ important components that should have been demonstrated (mentioned in the High performance level)		
Poster	opportunities Effective poster design that sums up the effort put into it and displays it well visually	Poster design that sums up the effort put into it, but does not display it well visually or vice versa	Poster design does not sum up the effort put into it and does not display it well visually		
Percentage: ٥٪	The presentation reinforces the report, and the slides cover all the main points and help the speaker to clearly and coherently talk about the	Y•½ or more of the slides convey the project ideas, but the presentation does not cover all the points	Less than Y • ½ of the slides convey the main ideas of the project		
Percentage: º%	topic				
Effective Communication During the Presentation Percentage: ٥½	The speaker is articulate, uses effective pace, and exhibits proper eye contact	The speaker uses appropriate language, but there is no proper eye contact or vice versa	The speaker lacks good communication		
Teamwork (through peer assessment - observation - presentation)					
Team Cohesion and commitment to responsibilities	Each member contributed in a significant way to the project. All members attended all meetings	Some members contributed more than others. Members regularly attended most of the meetings and	The team did not cooperate nor communicate well. Some members worked independently, regardless		
o' <u>/</u> Percentage: م <u>/</u>		met submission deadlines	of objectives and priorities		